APPLICATION NOTE



Liquid Chromatography

Author: Jamie Foss

PerkinElmer, Inc. Shelton, CT

Analysis of Sugars in Maple Syrup by Hydrophilic Interaction Chromatography (HILIC) with Refractive Index Detection

Introduction

Maple syrup is a popular natural sweetener that the U.S. Food and Drug Administration defines as the liquid food derived by concentrating and heat-treating sap from the

maple tree.¹ Sucrose is the primary sugar found in maple syrup, along with lesser amounts of glucose, fructose and complex carbohydrates.² Often, the total sugar content of maple syrup is based solely on the amount of sucrose, and does not consider the other sugar sources.² Maple syrup also contains various other components including minerals, vitamins, amino acids, organic acids, and phytohormones.³ The sugar composition of maple syrup differs from honey, which is dominated by both glucose and fructose, and can contain other sugars such as sucrose and maltose, in much smaller concentrations.³

The analysis of simple sugars is commonly performed using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Often, hydrophilic interaction chromatography (HILIC) is used with refractive index (RI) detection owing to the polarity of the sugars, and the lack of a suitable chromophore for UV detection. Therefore, this work describes a simple HILIC-RI method for the analysis of four common sugars found in maple syrup. The structures of these four sugars are shown in Figure 1.





Figure 1. Chemical structures of the four sugars analyzed in this study.

Experimental

Hardware and Software

Chromatographic separation was achieved using a PerkinElmer LC 300 HPLC system, consisting of an LC 300 10K psi pump and an LC 300 autosampler equipped with an integrated column oven. Detection was achieved using an LC 300 Refractive Index (RI) detector. Instrument control, analysis, and data processing were performed using the Simplicity[™]Chrom CDS software platform.

Method Parameters

The LC parameters are shown in Table 1.

Solvents, Standards and Samples

All solvents and diluents used were HPLC grade. Unless otherwise specified, standard and sample extract dilutions were prepared using 75:25 acetonitrile:water.

The four sugar standards were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich[®], Inc (St. Louis, MO) as powders. These included: fructose, glucose, sucrose, and maltose.

A 5 mg/mL stock standard mix solution was prepared by dissolving 250 mg of each standard into a 50-mL volumetric flask using 1:1 methanol:water as the diluent to ensure complete dissolution. This also served as the Level 7 calibration standard.

Additional calibrants were prepared by serially diluting the standard mix to concentration levels of 2.5, 1.25, 0.625, 0.3125, 0.15625, and 0.078125 mg/mL, using mobile phase (75:25 acetonitrile: water) to give a 7-level calibration set.

Two different brands of Grade A Dark Amber maple syrup were obtained from a local grocery store. One spiced apple syrup was also obtained for analysis from a local farmer's market.

Table 1. LC Parameters.

Column	PerkinElmer Brownlee Analytical Amino, 3 μm, 150 x 4.6 mm (Part# N9303501)					
	Solvent A: 75:25 Acetonitrile:Water Solvent Program: Isocratic					
Mobile Phase	Step	Time (min.)	Flow Rate (mL/min.)	%A	%В	
	1	0.00	1.5	100	0	
	2	6.00	1.5	100	0	
Data Collection Rate	5 pts/sec (Hz)					
Analysis Time	6 min.					
Pressure	2100 psi/145 bar maximum					
RI Flow Cell Temp.	35 °C					
Oven Temp.	35 °C					
Injection Vol.	10 μL (Partial Loop)					

Approximately 0.75 mL of syrup was pipetted into a tared 50 mL centrifuge tube to obtain an exact sample weight. 25 mL of water was added, and the samples were sonicated for 25 minutes. After sonication, the samples were filtered using 0.45- μ m nylon filters (Part# 02542880). Samples were then diluted 10-fold with mobile phase prior to injecting 10 μ L for analysis.

Results and Discussion

The chromatogram of the 0.625-mg/mL standard is shown in Figure 2, with all four sugars eluting in under five minutes.



Figure 3 shows the overlay of 10 replicate 0.625 mg/mL sugar standard injections, demonstrating exceptional reproducibility. Retention time %RSDs were less than 0.07%, and peak area %RSDs were less than 0.5% for all analytes.



Figure 3. Chromatographic overlay of 10 replicates of the 0.625-mg/mL sugar standard.

Figure 4 shows the calibration results for all four sugars over a concentration range of 0.078125 to 5 mg/mL. All four sugars followed a linear (1^{st} order) fit and had R² coefficients above 0.999 (n=3 at each level).



Figure 4. Results of the 7-level calibration sets for fructose, glucose, sucrose, and maltose.

As listed in Table 2, the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ) were obtained for each analyte, and were calculated from the standard deviation of the response and the slope of the calibration curve for each analyte, based on triplicate injections of the Level 1 standard.

Using the same chromatographic conditions, the three syrup samples were analyzed. The chromatographic results for Syrups A, B, and C can be see in Figures 5, 6, and 7, respectively.

Table 2. LODs and LOQs for the four analytes, in order of elution.

Analyte	Calculated LOD (mg/mL)	Calculated LOQ (mg/mL)
Fructose	0.006	0.022
Glucose	0.039	0.131
Sucrose	0.015	0.050
Maltose	0.015	0.050



Figure 5. Chromatogram of Syrup A.



Figure 6. Chromatogram of Syrup B.



Figure 7. Chromatogram of Syrup C.

Syrups A and B were the two Grade A Dark Amber maple syrups purchased for this study. As expected, the primary constituent is sucrose, shown around 3.45 minutes. For Syrup C (the spiced apple syrup), illustrated in Figure 7, the chromatographic profile is different, with two peaks in addition to the sucrose peak observed in Syrups A and B. Upon closer inspection via a chromatographic overlay with the 0.625 mg/mL sugar standard, shown in Figure 8, the additional two peaks can clearly be identified as fructose and glucose.



Figure 8. Overlay chromatograms of Syrup C (blue) and 0.625 mg/mL standard (black).

For a complete listing of our global offices, visit www.perkinelmer.com/ContactUs

Copyright ©2020, PerkinElmer, Inc. All rights reserved. PerkinElmer® is a registered trademark of PerkinElmer, Inc. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

PKI

PerkinElmer, Inc. 940 Winter Street Waltham, MA 02451 USA

$\label{eq:table 3.} Table 3. Amount sugar (mg) and percent sugar (w/w) for each of the three syrups analyzed. Amounts are based on the average of three injections.$

SYRUP A; SAMPLE WEIGHT: 637.1 mg						
Analyte	Amount (mg)	Percent Sugar (w/w)				
Fructose	Trace	n/a				
Sucrose	Trace	n/a				
Glucose	338.19	53.08				
SYRUP B; SAMPLE WEIGHT: 886.6 mg						
Analyte	Amount (mg)	Percent Sugar (w/w)				
Fructose	Trace	n/a				
Sucrose	Trace	n/a				
Glucose	477.71	53.88				
SYRUP C; SAMPLE WEIGHT: 831.41 mg						
Analyte	Amount (mg)	Percent Sugar (w/w)				
Fructose	53.46	6.43				
Sucrose	45.95	5.53				
Glucose	350.91	42.21				

Based on standard calibration, the quantitative results for each syrup sample are shown in Table 3. When analyzed, Syrups A and B both only contained a quantitative amount of sucrose. Although trace amounts of both fructose and glucose were observed, they were below the limit of detection, and were not considered in any of the calculations. Syrup C contained much higher concentrations of fructose and glucose compared to Syrups A and B. This is most likely due to the apples used in the production of the syrup. Interestingly, the total percent sugar by weight for Syrup C was 54.17%, closely matching the other two maple syrups which were based only on the amount of sucrose.

Conclusion

This work has demonstrated the fast and robust chromatographic separation and quantitation of four sugars using a PerkinElmer LC 300 HPLC system with RI detection. The results exhibited very good retention time repeatability, as well as excellent linearity over the tested concentration ranges. The method also affords LOQs of ≤ 0.1 mg/mL for most analytes.

This work specifically focused on the sugar analysis of three different syrups, two maple syrups from a local grocery store and one spiced apple syrup from a local farmer's market. The method allowed for the identification of specific analytes contained in each of the syrup samples, as well as comparing their sugar profiles, both chromatographically and quantitatively.

References

- 1. USDA United States Standards for Grades of Maple Syrup, March 2015.
- Storz, G.; Darvill, A. G.; Albersheim, P. Characterization of polysaccharides isolated from maple syrup. *Phytochemistry* 1986, 25, 437–441.
- 3. Ball, D. W. The chemical composition of maple syrup. *J. Chem. Educ.* 2007, 84, 1647–1650.

